

Molecular detection of Adenovirus type 2 among conjunctivitis patients in Khartoum state- Sudan

Asmaa Yousuf Shambal¹, Nasraldeen M. A. Bush¹, Salwa Hassan Mohamed Basheer¹, Musa Abdalla Ali² and Marwa M. A. Mohammed¹

¹Alneelain University-faculty of medical laboratory science-microbiology department;
Khartoum, Sudan

²Khartoum University – faculty of medical laboratory science-microbiology department;
Khartoum, Sudan

Email: asmayosuf350@gmail.com

Abstract

Background: Adenoviruses are a group of viruses that can infect the membranes (tissue linings) of the respiratory tract, eyes, intestines, and urinary tract. Diagnosis of conjunctivitis and differentiation between bacterial, viral, and noninfectious conjunctivitis are usually clinical. PCR and other rapid, office-based immunodiagnostic tests can be useful especially when the inflammation is severer.

Objective: This study aimed to detect adenovirus among conjunctivitis infected patients to figure out the possibility of using PCR technique to achieve that goal.

Methods: By using PCR for DNA products of 45 conjunctivitis swabs, to detect adenovirus type 2.

The Results: Only one sample gave a positive result out of 45 samples detected (2.2%)

Conclusion: It seems to be no adenovirus spreading enough among patients causing their eye illness, therefore other causative agents for conjunctivitis may be existence, as in Sudan most of the year the climate not suitable for adenovirus survival as it lives in cold and wet environments unlike what above local one. However, if any signs suggest bacterial conjunctivitis (eg, purulent discharge), cultures or other studies may be useful. The prevalence of adenoviral conjunctivitis in the study population was lower than the prevalence in other regions of the world.

Key words: Conjunctivitis, Human adenoviruses (HAdVs), polymerase chain reaction (PCR).

1. Introduction

Adenoviruses (AdV) are a major cause of viral conjunctivitis. Human adenoviruses (HAdVs) are classified in the genus Mastadeno virus, which contains seven known HAdV species HAdV-A to HAdV-G (Huang et al. 2013), (Buckwalter et al. 2012). It has been discovered in 1953 (Rowe WP, et al. 1953). Traditionally, the HAdV species were classified by hemagglutination and serum neutralization reactions into different serotypes. Adenoviruses are non-enveloped double-stranded DNA viruses that can infect a variety of human tissues. They range in size from 65 to 80 nm in diameter. The virion is composed of a protein capsid, made up of 252 capsomeres, and a nucleoprotein core that contains the DNA viral genome (26–46 kbp long, containing 23–46 protein-

coding genes) and internal proteins. The capsid has an icosohedral shape, consisting of 240 hexon components and 12 pentons per virus particle (Jawetz et al. 1955), (Swenson et al. 2003). Each penton contains a base plate with fiber. The length of the fibers varies among the different serotypes (De Jong et al. 1999). DNA homology within the HAdV subgroups ranges from 48% to 99%. HAdV subgroup C serotypes revealed the highest DNA homology (up to 99%) as compared to other HAdV subgroups. However, the DNA homology between HAdV subgroups is less than 20% (Walls et al. 2003). Adenovirus is the cause of epidemic viral conjunctivitis which is the most common infectious and a highly contagious eye disease that occurs worldwide. Cases are more frequent during warmer months. Epidemiological analysis indicated the regional and the seasonal distribution dominated in the winter and early spring. The exact incidence of adenoviral conjunctivitis is still poorly known (Zhang et al. 2013).

Conjunctivitis is one of the most frequent ocular disorders observed in clinical practice (Maranhao et al. 2009). Conjunctival infections are caused both in sporadic and epidemic form, due to a variety of microorganisms, including bacteria (Buznach et al. 2005), viruses (Chang et al. 2003) and parasites (Nimir et al. 2012). The leading cause of acute viral conjunctivitis is human adenoviruses (HAdVs) (Wolfel et al. 2006), (Jin et al. 2006). About 15–70% of all conjunctivitis cases worldwide are associated with HAdVs 10, where clinical manifestations include epidemic conjunctivitis (EC), pharyngo conjunctival fever and non-specific follicular conjunctivitis (Dalapathy et al. 1998). Although most adenoviral infections have been described as mild and self-limited, HAdVs have been associated with severe infections in both immune compromised and healthy individuals (Mandelboim et al. 2011). HAdVs cause outbreaks in a wide range of settings, such as military recruits (Erdman et al. 2002), (Mendes et al. 2004) or hospitals (Ishiko et al. 2008).

Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) is a rapid, reliable and sensitive tool for the diagnosis of viral infections of the eye. Conjunctivitis caused by adenoviruses (Dalapathy et al. 1998).

2. Material and method

This cross sectional study enrolled among 45 well diagnosed conjunctivitis subjects, they were attended to ophthalmology hospitals in Khartoum state, samples taken to detect Adenovirus were swabs from their conjunctiva of the eyes by swabbing, which later preserved in sterile added normal saline containers, then frozen at -20 for later work, which was DNA extraction which conducted via DNA extraction kit (Analytika Jena, Germany), provide fast and easy silica-based DNA purification in convenient spin-column and 50-well-plate formats. Most samples were directly lysed with proteinase K, eliminating the need for mechanical disruption and reducing hands-on time. Optimized protocols for specific sample types provide reproducible purification of high-quality DNA. Purification of DNA using the DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit can be automated on the QIAcube (QIAGEN trade mark, Germany). Then the DNA products used for polymerase chain reaction PCR

technique, the adenovirus primers (P1 - 5' GCCGCAGTGGTCTTACATGCACATC 3', P2 - 5' CAGCACGCCGCGGATGTCAAGT 3') were designed according to the DNA sequence of the hexon region of adenoviruses types 2 and 5. This pair of primers amplifies a fragment of 300 bp from the hexon gene of many serotypes (Jackson et al. 1996). The PCR reaction was carried out as described elsewhere (Innis et al. 1990). The PCR cycle was 1 cycle at 94°C/5min, 35 cycles at 94°C/30s, 55°C/30s, 72°C/1min and 1 cycle at 72°C/15min., The PCR products were electrophoresed on 2% agarose gel containing Ethidium bromide. The bands were visualized using an ultraviolet Transilluminator.

3. Results

Forty five conjunctival swabs were collected from patients referred for acute conjunctivitis to ophthalmology hospital. They were 42% females and 58% males as in figure 1, their age mean+SD as 33.2+20.6years. They were having pink or red color of the eye, swelling of the eyelid, watery discharge during the day and crusting noted in the morning, itchy, burning of eyes and eye discharge, sometimes yellow and others white. They were between 1 to 2 weeks of getting the eye infection.




Figure 1: gender distribution among patients with conjunctivitis, 42% females and 58% males.

DNA from the entire specimen was extracted and subjected to PCR assay using adenovirus specific primer. From the total of 45 specimens tested one (2.2%) sample was positive by PCR test and the rest 44 (97.8%) were negative for adenovirus, as in figure 2. And the appearance of the PCR as in figure 3.




Figure 2: frequency of adenovirus among study group. Shows positive result via PCR 2.2% and negative result 97.8%.




Figure 3: PCR result for positive result

4. Discussion

Conjunctivitis is the most frequent ocular disorder that is observed in ophthalmic clinics. Several viruses are associated with conjunctivitis and most important group is adenoviruses, Adenoviruses are the leading cause of acute conjunctivitis (Ghazali et al. 2003), (Waldman et al. 1990).

We found that the prevalence of adenoviral conjunctivitis was 2.2% (1 out of 45) in all patients presenting with a clinical diagnosis of infectious conjunctivitis, this result is the lowest prevalence report until now, isn't in agreement with the findings of similar previous studies. Possible reasons for negative PCR results include the presence of viral conjunctivitis caused by non-adenoviral species, allergic conjunctivitis, Chlamydia and inclusion conjunctivitis. Less common causes include herpetic viruses, picorna viruses, Epstein-Barr virus, influenza viruses, paramyxo virus and poxviruses.

Results from studies conducted in Brazil showed that adenoviruses were involved in 59% of all viral cases of conjunctivitis in that country (Pinto et al. 2015), whereas worldwide, adenoviruses have been found to be involved in 15% to 70% of all cases of infectious conjunctivitis (Harding et al. 1987), (Weiss et al. 1993), (Torres et al. 1998), (Ishii et al. 1987). It is often difficult to clinically distinguish a disease caused by an adenovirus from other etiologies of conjunctivitis and comparison of laboratory studies of acute conjunctivitis shows that the accuracy of clinical diagnosis ranges from 40% to 75% (Stenson et al. 1982), (Fitch et al. 1989). Other Brazilian researchers studied 75 eye swabs and reported that 60% of the patients had positive PCR results for an adenovirus (Maranhão et al. 2009).

A laboratory confirmation of an adenovirus-related etiology may aid the physician in making an accurate diagnosis. The correct identification of patients with adenoviral conjunctivitis may reduce the spread of the disease and limit its toxicity in addition to allergic reactions and antibiotic resistance associated with unnecessary empirical treatments. No laboratory tests are routinely performed at the clinical level in all hospital in Sudan.

A rapid, inexpensive and accurate method for diagnosing adenoviral ocular infections is needed not only to limit the transmission of the virus within the community but also to avoid the expensive, unnecessary, and ineffective use of antibiotic therapies.

5. Conclusion & Recommendation

Using PCR technique to diagnosis adenovirus conjunctivitis did bring a promising data can make it a tool of diagnosis.

Adenovirus infection detection method should be expanded to easy techniques to ensure right results can be obtained.

References

1. Buckwalter SP, Teo R, Espy MJ, Sloan LM, Smith TF, Pritt BS. 2012; Real-time qualitative PCR for 57 human adenovirus types from multiple specimen sources. *J Clin Microbiol.* 50(3): 766–771.
2. Buznach N, Dagan R, Greenberg D. 2005; Clinical and bacterial characteristics of acute bacterial conjunctivitis in children in the antibiotic resistance era. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 24(9): 823–8.
3. Chang CH, Lin KH, Sheu MM, Huang WL, Wang HZ, Chen CW. 2003; The change of etiological agents and clinical signs of epidemic viral conjunctivitis over an 18- year period in Southern Tai wan. *Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol.* 241(7):554–60.
4. De Jong JC, Wermenbol AG, Verweij-Uijterwaal MW, Slaterus KW, Wertheim-Van Dillen P, Van Doornum GJ, Khoo SH, Hierholzer JC. 1999; Adenoviruses from human immunodeficiencyvirus-infected individuals, including two strains that represent new

- candidate serotypes Ad50 and Ad51 of species B1 and D, respectively. *J Clin Microbiol.* 37(12):3940–394.
5. Dalapathy S, Lily TK, Roy S, 1998; Madhavan HN. Development and use of nested polymerase chain reaction (PCR) for the detection of adenovirus from conjunctivitis specimens. *J Clin Virol.* 11(1):77–84.
 6. Dalapathy S, Therese KL, Roy S, Madhavan HN. 1998; Development and use of nested polymerase chain reaction (PCR) for the detection of adenovirus from conjunctivitis specimens. *J Clin Virol.* 11:77–84.
 7. Erdman DD, Xu W, Gerber SI, Gray GC, Schnurr D, Kajon AE, Anderson LJ. 2002; Molecular Epidemiology of Adenovirus Type 7 in the United States, 1996-2000. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 8(3):269–77.
 8. Fitch CP, Rapoza PA, Owens S, Murillo-Lopez F, Johnson RA, Quinn TC, et al. 1989; Epidemiology and diagnosis of acute conjunctivitis at an inner-city hospital. *Ophthalmology.* 96(8):1215-20.
 9. Ghazali O, Chua KB, Ng KP, Hooi PS, Pallansch MA, Oberste MS, et al. 2003; An outbreak of acute haemorrhagic conjunctivitis in Melaka, Malaysia. *Singapore Med J.* 44(10):511-6.
 10. Harding SP, Mallinson H, Smith JL, Clearkin LG. 1987; Adult follicular conjunctivitis and neonatal ophthalmia in a Liverpool eye hospital, 1980-1984. *Eye (Lond).* 1(Pt 4):512-21.
 11. Human Adenovirus Working Group Human Adenovirus Genotype Classification, <http://hadvwg.gmu.edu/> accessed Dec. 7th, 2013.
 12. Huang GH, Xu WB., Bing Du Xue Bao. 2013; Recent advance in new types of human adenovirus May; 29(3): 342–348.
 13. Ishiko H, Shimada Y, Konno T, Hayashi A, Ohguchi T, Tagawa Y, et al. 2008; Novel human adenovirus causing nosocomial epidemic kerato-conjunctivitis. *J Clin Microbiol.* 46(6):2002–8.
 14. Innis MA, Gelfano DH. Optimization of PCRs. In: Innis MA, Gelfano DH, Sninsky JJ, White TJ, editors. 1990; PCR protocols: a guide to methods and applications. New York: Academic Press; p.3-12.
 15. Ishii K, Nakazono N, Fujinaga K, Fujii S, Kato M, Ohtsuka H, et al. 1987; Comparative studies on aetiology and epidemiology of viral conjunctivitis in three countries of East Asia-- Japan, Taiwan and South Korea. *Int J Epidemiol.* 16(1):98-103.
 16. Jackson R, Morris DJ, Cooper RJ, Bailey AS, Klapper PE, Cleator GM et al. 1996; Multiplex polymerase chain reaction for adenovirus and herpes simplex virus in eye swabs. *J Virol Methods* 56:41-8.
 17. Jawetz E, Kimura S, Nicholas AN, Thygeson P, Hanna L. 1955; new type of APC virus from epidemic keratoconjunctivitis. *Science.*; 122 (3181):1190–1191.



18. Jin XH, Ishiko H, Nguyen TH, Ohguchi T, Akanuma M, Aoki K, Ohno S. 2006; Molecular Epidemiology of Adenoviral Conjunctivitis in Hanoi, Vietnam. *Am J Ophthalmol.* 142(2):1064–6.
19. Maranhao AG, Soares CC, Albuquerque MC, Santos N. 2009; Molecular epidemiology of Adenovirus Conjunctivitis in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, between 2004 and 2007. *Rev Inst Med Trop Sao Paulo.* 51(4):227–9.
20. Mandelboim M, Dror P, Azar R, Bromberg M, Mendelson E. 2011; Adenovirus Infections in Hospitalized Patients in Israel: Epidemiology and Molecular Characterization. *J ClinMicrobiol.* 49(2):597–601.
21. Maranhão AG, Soares CC, Albuquerque MCM, Santos N. 2009; Molecularepidemiology of adenovirus conjunctivitis in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil,between 2004 and 2007. *Rev Inst Med Trop Sao Paulo.* 51(4):227-9.
22. Mendes RM, Nogueira ML, Marques JT, Pereira MVC, de Souza Machado MA, Cunha AS, et al. 2004; A rapid polymerase chain reaction protocol to detect adenovirus in eye swabs.*Arq Bras Oftalmol.* 67:423–7.
23. Nimir AR, Saliem A, Ibrahim IA. 2012; OphthalmicParasitosis: A review Article. *InterdiscipPerspect Infect Dis.* 2012:587402.
24. Pinto RD, Lira RP, Arieta CE, Castro RS, Bonon SH. 2015; The prevalence of adenoviral conjunctivitis at the Clinical Hospital of the State University of Campinas, Brazil. *Clinics.* 70(11):748-750.
25. Rowe WP, Huebner RJ, Gilmore LK, Parrot RH, Ward TG. 1953; Isolation of a cytopathogenic agent from human adenoids undergoing spontaneous degeneration in tissue culture. *Proc Soc Exp Biol Med.;* 84(3): 570–573.
26. Stenson S, Newman R, Fedukowicz H. 1982; Laboratory studies in acute conjunctivitis. *Arch Ophthalmol.* 100(8):1275-7.
27. Swenson PD, Wadell G, Allard A, Hierholzer JC. 2003. *Manual of Clinical Microbiology*, vol 2. 8. Washington, DC: ASM Press; Adenoviruses.
28. Torres Rojas G, Goyenechea A, Savón C, Valdés O, Oropesa I. 1998; [The incidence of adenoviruses in viral conjunctivitis]. *Rev Cubana Med Trop.* 50(3):182-5.
29. Walls T, Shankar AG, Shingadia D. 2003; Adenovirus: an increasingly important pathogen in paediatric bone marrow transplant patients. *Lancet Infect Dis.;* 3(2):79–86.
30. Wolfel R, Pfeffer M, Essbauer S, Nerkelun S, Dobler G. 2006; Evaluation of sampling technique and transport media for the diagnostics of adenoviral eye infections.*Adenovirus sampling and transport.Graefes Arch ClinExpOphthalmol.* 244(11):1497–504.
31. Waldman EA, Takimoto S, Ishida MA, Kitamura C, Mendonca LI. 1990; [Enterovirus 70 in the metropolitan region of São Paulo, Brazil, from 1984 to 1987: infection aspects in endemic and epidemic periods]. *Rev Inst Med Trop Sao Paulo.* 32(3):221-8.



32. Weiss A, Brinser JH, Nazar-Stewart V. 1993; Acute conjunctivitis in childhood. *J Pediatr.* 122(1):10-4,
33. Zhang L, Zhao N, Sha J, Wang C, Jin X, Amer S, et al. 2016; Virology and epidemiology analyses of global adenovirus-associated conjunctivitis outbreaks, 1953-2013. *Epidemiol Infect.* 144(8): 1661–72.