

# http://ijopaar.com; 2016 Vol. 3(1); pp. 89-96 **APPLICATION KNOWLEDGE MANAGEMENT BASED** UTILIZATION OF CONCEPTUAL FOUNDATION \*Vijaya Sekhar Reddy. B., Rajesh. P., Bindu Madhavi. P.,

Department of Master of Business Administration, Institute of Aeronautical Engineering, Dundigal, Hyderabad Email: vijayjosh.80@gmail.com; rajeshbukka28@gmail.com; bindumadhavi2002@gmail.com

Date of revised paper submission: 30<sup>th</sup> July 2016; Date of acceptance: 04<sup>th</sup> July 2016 Date of publication: 14<sup>th</sup> August 2016; Impact Factor: 3.598; Quality Factor: 4.39 \*First Author / Corresponding Author; Paper ID: A16305

## Abstract

This chapter provides an introduction to the revision of knowledge management (KM). A brief history of knowledge management conception be outlined, noting so as to a large amount of KM existed before the actual term came into well-liked use. The knowledge management process can be categorized into knowledge creation, knowledge validation, knowledge presentation, knowledge distribution, and knowledge application activities. To capitalize on knowledge, an organization must be swift in balancing its knowledge management activities. In general, such a balancing act requires changes in organizational culture, technology, and techniques. A number of organizations deem that by focusing exclusively lying on people, technologies, or techniques, they be familiar with how to manage knowledge. However, that exclusive focus on people, technologies, or techniques does not facilitate a firm to sustain its bloodthirsty advantages. It is, to a certain extent, the interaction between technology, techniques, and people that allow an organization to supervise its knowledge effectively. By creating a nurturing and "learning-by-doing" kind of environment, an organization can sustain its competitive advantages.

Knowledge is increasingly being recognized as the new strategic imperative of organizations. The most established paradigm is that knowledge is power. Therefore, one has on the way to hoard it, keep it resting on the technique toward oneself to continue an advantage. The common attitude of most people is to hold on in the direction of one's knowledge since it is what makes him or her asset to the organization. Today, knowledge is still well thought-out power – an enormous power in fact – but the understandings have changed considerably, above all from the point of view of organizations.

Keywords: Management Knowledge Management, Organizational Culture, Knowledge customization

#### **1. INTRODUCTION**

Knowledge management is the purposeful and systematic synchronization of an organization's citizens; expertise, process, and organizational configuration in classify to insert charge from side to side reuse and modernization. This coordination is achieved from beginning to end create, allocation, and applying information as fighting fit as from end to end feeding the valuable lessons educated and best practices into group memory in order to foster constant organizational knowledge.

One of the main attribute of knowledge management relates to the fact that it deals with knowledge as well as in sequence. Knowledge is a more prejudiced way of knowing and is classically based on experiential or human being values, perception, and understanding. Popular example to tell between data from information and from knowledge includes the following:

**Knowledge:** At that time of day, it will be impossible to find parking. I remember the last time I took the car I was so perturbed and stressed for the reason that I thought I would miss the opportunity credits. I'll therefore take the commuter train. But first I'll check with Al. I usually love all the movies he hates so I want to make sure it's worth seeing.

Knowledge management systems (KMS) are applications of the organization's computer-based road and rail network and information systems (CIS) to support the various KM process. They are typically not industrially dissimilar from the CIS, but involve database, such as "instruction well-read" repositories, and directories and networks, such as persons ingenuous to put organizational participants in contact by way of recognized experts in a multiplicity of topic areas.

A trivial difference sandwiched between many knowledge management systems and the organization's CIS is that the KMS may be less computerized in that they may necessitate human activity in their operation. While in sequence systems classically have need of that humans compose choices in the drawing segment and subsequently operate without human intervention, KMS sometimes involve human chipping in the operation segment. For instance, when a sales database is designed, people must decide on its content and structure; in its operational phase, it works automatically. When a "lessons learned" knowledge repository is created, people must make all of the same design choices, but they must also participate in its operational phase since each knowledge unit that is submitted for inclusion is unique and must be assessed for its relevance and important.

The study focuses on the British Council's desire to improve its practice through the application of principles from Knowledge Management. Within this thesis the term "improvement" s used to describe the aspiration, from a particular viewpoint, that led the British Council to implement Knowledge Management. It is used to overcome the contested nature of Knowledge Management in order to take seriously the concept and then intervene within the organization.



The systematic process of finding, selecting, association, distilling and presenting information, improves an employee's comprehension in a specific area of interest. The Knowledge Management as emphasized by Abdul Kalam, 2004 its helps and relationship to gain insight and long-suffering on or after its own experience. Specific familiarity management activities lend a hand focus on association on acquire, storing and utilizing knowledge for problem solving, self-motivated leaning, strategic planning and pronouncement making. It also prevents academic assets from decay, adds to firm intelligence and provides increased flexibility.

# 2. BACK GROUND

#### What is Knowledge Management

Many of us purely do not suppose in terms of administration information, but we each and every one do it. Every one of us is a individual stock up of understanding by means of preparation, understanding, and unceremonious networks of acquaintances and contemporaries, whom we try to find out when we want to get to the bottom of a problem or walk around an prospect. Essentially, we search out gear completed and to get done an amazing by perceptive a comeback with or knowing a famous person. Superficially the societal get in touch with organization is resting on the theme of be proper cooperative acquaintance of the complete knowledge personnel to accomplish exact is organization. Knowledge management is unfortunately a misleading term - knowledge resides in people's heads and managing it is not in reality possible or desirable. What we can do, in addition to what did you say? the ideas behind knowledge organization are all about, is to set up an setting in which the populace has to be encouraged to produce, qualified, divide up and to use awareness together designed for the assistance of the institute, then the public who employment within it and the organization's patrons (otherwise in the glasses case of the NHS, patients). Knowledge management

is based on the idea that an organization's most valuable resource is the knowledge of its people. This is to be not new idea–organizations have been managing "human possessions" for living. What be alive new-fangled is the focus on knowledge.

- provided that induction packs full of "be familiar with how" to new-fangled staff;
- Conducting way out interviews at what time staff leaves so with the purpose of their knowledge is not vanished to the organization;
- Creating databases of every on its own one publications bent by an organisation so with the intention of staff can access them from their reception desk;
- As long as ongoing erudition so that community can continuously bring up to date their knowledge;
- Encouraging populace with a general concentration to set-up with every one;
- To create an electronic filing system with the intention of can be searched inside a number of ways, manufacture the inside sequence a large amount easier to locate;
- Redesigning the offices in direction of is open plan so with the meaning of staff and manager be more discernible and talk to each other supplementary;
- It put people directories online so with the intention of public be breathing capable of without
- involvedness find not at home who do what the length of with where they are;
- create intranets as a result that human resources can right of front entrance all kinds of organisational in sequence and social contact that capacity or else obtain a great business of time and liveliness to find.

# 3. KNOWLEDGE AND KNOWLEDGE MANAGEMENT

#### The process of cycle model of KM

Such cycle models provide a useful way to organize one's thinking about KM processes. There have been numerous KM processes cycle models that describe the relationships of the key processes of KM, ranging from Davenport and Prusak's (2000) 3-stage model ("Generate, Codify/Coordinate, Transfer") to Ward and Aurum's (2004) 7-stage ("Create, Acquire, Identify, Adapt, Organize, Distribute, Apply").

The process cycle model is particularly valuable in that it uses the generally accepted terminology of KM and makes use of alternative paths in order to make important distinctions. The various activities listed as bullet-points under some of the major phases are meant to be illustrative and not necessarily definitional.

The model shows that the initiation of the KM cycle involves either the creation or the acquisition of knowledge by an organization. Knowledge creation involves developing new knowledge or replacing existing knowledge with new content (Nonaka, 1994). The focus of this is usually on knowledge creation inside the boundary of the firm or in conjunction with partners.

The four bullet points under "Creation" refer to Nonaka's (1994) four modes of knowledge creation – socialization (the conversion of tacit knowledge to new tacit knowledge through social interactions and shared experiences), combination (creating new explicit knowledge by merging, categorizing, and synthesizing existing explicit knowledge), externalization (converting tacit knowledge to new explicit knowledge) and internalization (the creation of new tacit knowledge from explicit knowledge). Illustrative of these four modes respectively are apprenticeships, literature survey reports, "lessons learned" repositories and individual or group learning through discussions.

The Eps pattern can be made in a relatively short time, also cores and parting lines are eliminated because of the direct evaporation of the foam from the mould through the vents, and backup sand is often directly reusable.

#### **3.1 Knowledge Creation:**

Knowledge creation building refers to the process of creating new cognitive artifacts as a result of common goals, group discussions, and synthesis of ideas. These pursuits should advance the current understanding of individuals within a group, at a level beyond their initial knowledge level, and

should be directed towards advancing the understanding of what is known about that topic or idea. The theory "encompasses the foundational learning, subskills, and socio-cognitive dynamics pursued in other approaches, along with the additional benefit of movement along the trajectory to mature education".

Knowledge building may be defined simply as "the creation, testing, and improvement of conceptual artifacts. It is not confined to education but applies to creative knowledge work of all kinds".

**3.2 Knowledge Sharing:** Knowledge sharing is an activity through which knowledge (namely, information, skills, or expertise) is exchanged among people, friends, families, communities (for example, Wikipedia, Google), or organizations.

The term information sharing has a long history in information technology. Traditional information sharing referred to one-to-one exchanges of data between a sender and receiver. These information exchanges are implemented via dozens of open and proprietary protocols, message and file formats. Electronic data interchange (EDI) is a successful implementation of commercial data exchanges that began in the late 1970s and remains in use today.

From the point of view of a computer scientist, the four primary information sharing design patterns are sharing information one-to-one, one-to-many, many-to-many, and many-to-one. Technologies to meet all four of these design patterns are evolving and include blogs, wikis, really simple syndication, tagging, and chat.

One example of United States government's attempt to implement one of these design patterns (one to one) is the National Information Exchange Model (NIEM). Unfortunately, one-to-one exchange models fall short of supporting all of the required design patterns needed to fully implement data exploitation technology. Advanced information sharing platforms provide controlled vocabularies, data harmonization, data stewardship policies and guidelines, standards for uniform data as they relate to privacy, security, and data quality.

#### 3.3 Knowledge values:

The idea that knowledge has value is ancient. In the 1st century AD, Juvenal was stated "All wish to know but none wish to pay the price". In 1775, Samuel Johnson has wrote: "All knowledge is of itself of some value."

Only towards of the end of the 20th century, however, was the value of knowledge in a business context generally recognized. The idea has since become something of a management fad, although many authors indicate that the underlying principles will become standard business practice. It is now understood that knowledge about how to produce products and provide services as well as their embedded knowledge is often more valuable than the products and services themselves or the materials they contain. Although measuring the value of knowledge remains elusive, describing its flow through value chains is a step in the right direction.



Fig 2: Knowledge Management Critical Success Factors

# 3.4 Knowledge Distribution

Distributed knowledge is a term used in multi-agent system research that refers to all the knowledge that a community of agents possesses and might apply in solving a problem. Distributed knowledge is approximately what "a wise man knows" or what someone who has complete knowledge of what each member of the community knows knows. Distributed knowledge might also be called the aggregate knowledge of a community, as it represents all the knowledge that a community might bring to bear to solve a problem. Other related phrasings include cumulative knowledge, collective knowledge, pooled knowledge, or the wisdom of the crowd. Distributed knowledge is the union of all the knowledge of individuals in a community.

## **3.5 Knowledge Application**

KM must therefore create/provide the right tools, people, knowledge, structures (teams, etc.), culture, etc. so as to enhance learning; it must understand the value and applications of the new knowledge created; it must store this knowledge and make it readily available for the right people at the right time; and it must continuously assess, apply, refine, and remove organizational knowledge in conjunction with concrete long and short term factors.

From this knowledge management definition we can see that it depends upon the management of the organization's knowledge creation and conversion mechanisms; organizational memory and retrieval facilities; organizational learning; and organizational culture. These concepts will be explored in more detail in the following sections.

# 4. KNOWLEDGE OF CUSTOMIZATION AND PERSONALIZATION

In this the customerization programs have a common set of actions and a common viewpoint, but the specifics of their implementation are vary premeditated to each unit or association. The customerization combines collection customization and to elicitation of human living being customer demand in sequence by concerning customers. In this regard as the next age group of mass customization.

Customerization might raise challenge linked to obtaining information from clientele, enhanced client opportunity, pricing issues consistent to modified donations, and the obligatory change to the absolute encouragement and big business line of show aggression of a stiff.

An effective customerization requires the swap over of in sequence and knowledge sandwiched between company and patrons. It requires clientele to be enthusiastic to share attitudes, preferences, and pay for patterns with the corporation on a continuing basis. With an increasing online antagonism and concerns concerning privacy, company need to design privacy guiding principle and encouragement structure with awareness to horizontal the progress of the knowledge switch more than between themselves and their patrons.

Google establish made to put in arrange search in 2004 in addition to it be implemented in 2005 to Google explore. Google has complete to organize search location up for not just persons who encompass a Google elucidation but all and sundry seeing that well. There is not very large amount information on top of how exactly Google personalizes their look for, however, it is theoretical that they use bargain hunter verbal communication, position, and network concerning.

The adapted marketing has heart-to-heart backing is a marketing line of attack through which company influence data analysis and digital knowledge to transport individualized letters and insincere goods offerings to in progress otherwise forthcoming customers. Advancement data collection methods of analytics, digital electronics and digital economics, contain enable marketers to deploy supplementary efficient real time and prolonged purchaser experience personalization tactics. In this circumstances one category of setting racket is the postpone end product. The adjourn upshot be acquainted with how to be understandable as a follow: when you carry out look hooked on and follow it by way of a succeeding poke in the region of around, the come to blows of the subsequent search is predisposed by means of resources of the first mishandle in this region. An attention-grabbing summit to announcement to be that the top rank URL's are a less important amount of to be expected to transform base off personalization, with an excellent number personalization going away

lying on by the side of the subordinate ranks. In this approach of personalization, based undeveloped on up and accountability to date search history, other than it is not a trustworthy building block of personalization in view of the fact that the discernible detail time out after 10 minutes, according on the way to the researchers.

## **5. CONCLUSION**

The Knowledge Management is an organizational approach that is not easily implemented. On one hand, knowledge sharing activities depend on the voluntary participation of employees, where a culture of sharing plays a prominent role (Broadbent, 1998). The implementation of KM requires a holistic and multidisciplinary approach, whereby process management is understood in light of the dimensions of an organization's functioning knowledge. On the other hand, KM should encapsulate the evolution of logical management practices, which are purposefully enforced, as it presents a major shift in hub regarding the growth and use (and re-use) of knowledge, where its use increases the effectiveness of any organization in achieving goals and objectives.

The shift in condition sandwiched between the ownership of knowledge and the act of knowing something that comes about through practice, action, and interaction is the driving force in the creation of new knowledge. Furthermore, in order designed for this relationship to be most successful, it is important to support shapeless work environments in areas where originality and innovation are important.

The beginning of a wiki in a large organisation will collect lots of difficulties. This have to do by way of the fact that the expansion, stodgy and introduction of a wiki are short term cost factor whereas the payback are long idiom. When in use, contributing to it remains a short term cost factor with no immediate benefit. In situations under time pressure, further common that not in consultancy companies, in attendance will be a tendency to only consume from the wiki and not on the way to contribute to it. Without extra measures to deal with this problem, there is a serious risk that the endeavour may fail.

In this brings us to the subsequent recommendation for consultancy companies that suppose about the introduction of a wiki as a tool for knowledge management. Such a step can require a fundamental change during the company culture. A change like this can only be successful when based on a strategic decision with comprehensive commitment from all levels of the company.

However difficult it may be to introduce social software tools such as wiki's, one should be well aware that the productivity gains of an effective knowledge sharing and group collaboration tool are likely to be considerable and may become a decisive competitive factor in the near future.

#### References

- [1]. Pitokow, James; Hinrich Schütze; Todd Cass; Rob Cooley; Don Turnbull; Andy Edmonds; Eytan Adar; Thomas Breuel (2002). "Personalized search". Communications of the ACM 45 (9): 50–55.
- [2]. http://personalization.ccs.neu.edu/paper.pdf
- [3]. Remerowski, Ted (2013), National Geographic: Inside Google
- [4]. Simpson, Thomas (2012). "Evaluating Google as an epistemic tool". Metaphilosophy 43 (4): 969–982.
- [5]. Ma, Z.; Pant, G.; Sheng, O. (2007). "Interest-based personalized search.". ACM TOIS 25 (5).
- [6]. http://ijopaar.com/files/CurrentIssue/C15101.pdf
- [7]. Frias-Martinez, E.; Chen, S.Y.; Liu, X. (2007). "Automatic cognitive style identification of digital library users for personalization.". JASIST 58 (2): 237–251. doi:10.1002/asi.20477.
- [8]. Chirita, P.; Firan, C.; Nejdl, W. (2006). "Summarizing local context to personalize global Web search". SIGIR: 287–296.
- [9]. Dou, Z.; Song, R.; Wen, J.R. (2007). "A large-scale evaluation and analysis of personalized search strategies". WWW: 581–590.
- [10]. http://ijopaar.com/files/CurrentIssue/C15103.pdf
- [11]. Van den Bossche, N. (2006) Minds in teams. The influence of social and cognitive factors on team learning. Thesis, Delft University of Technology, Delft.

- [12]. Brömmelstroet, M. te, P.M. Schrijnen (2009) From Planning Support Systems to Mediated Planning Support, Environment and Planning B: Planning and Design, Vol 37 no. 1, pp. 3-20.
- [13]. De Caluwé, L. de, H. Vermaak (2006) Learning to Change: A guide for Organizational Change Agents, Sage, Thousand Oaks, Cal. Forrester, J.W. (1975) Collected Papers of Jay Forrester, Productivity Press, New York.
- [14]. http://ijopaar.com/files/CurrentIssue/C15104.pdf
- [15]. Cross, R., and L. Baird. (2000). "Technology is not enough: Improving performance by building orga-
- [16]. nizational memory," Sloan Management Review, 41(3): 69–79.
- [17]. Davenport, T.H., and L. Prusak. 2000. Working knowledge: How organizations manage what they know. Boston, MA: Harvard Business School Press.
- [18]. Dixon, N.M. 1994. The organizational learning cycle: How we can learn collectively. New York: cGraw-Hill.
- [19]. Bock, W., "Knowledge Management Basics", The Digital Age Storyteller Resources, http://www.bockinfo.com/docs/kmbasics.htm, (accessed 11/26/2004).
- [20]. Gibbons, M., Limoges, C., Nowotny, H., Schwartzman, S., Scott, P. & Trow. M. (1994) The new production of knowledge, Sage, London.
- [21]. http://ijopaar.com/files/CurrentIssue/D15101.pdf
- [22]. Gray, H. (1999). Re-scoping the university, in: H. Gray (Ed.) Universities and the creation of wealth. Buckingham: The Society for Research into Higher Education & Open University Press.
- [23]. Kessels, J.W.M. (2001). Verleiden tot kennisproductiviteit (Seducing towards knowledge generation). Inaugural speech as Professor Human Resource Development. University of Twente, Enschede.
- [24]. http://ijopaar.com/files/CurrentIssue/C16104.pdf
- [25]. Kolb, D. A. (1984). Experiential learning: Experience as the Source of Learning and development. Prentice Hall, New Jersey.
- [26]. Por, G., "Building a Case for Communities of Practice: what makes them an economic imperative?", Community Intelligence Ltd. Co., http://www.communityintelligence.co.uk
- [27]. Abdul Kalam, A.P.J. 2004. Digital Library and its multidimensions. President of India's speech at the "Inauguration of International Conference on Digital Libraries (ICDL) – 2004, New Delhi : Feb 24, 2004. Available at: http://www.presidentofindia.nic.in/scripts/sllatest1.jsp?id=282
- [28]. United Nations System Staff College, "UN Knowledge Networks and Communities of Practice", http://www.unssc.org/web1/programmes/km/about.asp, (2005).
- [29]. http://ijopaar.com/files/CurrentIssue/21C16108.PDF
- [30]. Marr, Bernard. 2003. Consider the culture when benchmarking KM Processes. KM Review Vol. 6, no.5: 6-7.
- [31]. Rasmus, D. and Ward, A., "Knowledge Sharing at the United Nations: A Plan for Action", Giga Information Group Work Frontiers, (2001).
- [32]. Wyssusek, Boris. "Knowledge Management A Sociopragmatic Approach (2001)". CiteSeerX. Retrieved 18 April 2013.
- [33]. Rosner, D.; Grote, B.; Hartman, K.; Hofling, B.; Guericke, O. (1998). "From natural language documents to sharable product knowledge: a knowledge engineering approach". In Borghoff, Uwe M.; Pareschi, Remo. Information technology for knowledge management. Springer Verlag. pp. 35–51.
- [34]. Khosravi, Arash; Che Hussin, Ab Razak (2014). "A Review of Customer Knowledge Management Importance". Journal of Soft Computing and Decision Support Systems 1 (1): 45–52.
- [35]. McDonald, D.W., and M.S. Ackerman. 1997. Collaborative refinery: A collaborative information workspace for the World Wide Web., Technical Report 97-03 Irvine: Information and Computer Science Department, University of California.

[36]. Ward, J., and A. Aurum. 2004. Knowledge management in software engineering – Describing the process, 137–146., 15th Australian Software Engineering Conference (ASWEC 2004) Melbourne, Australia: IEEE Computer Society Press.